

# **On the attainable distributions of diffusion processes pertaining to a chain of distributed systems**

Getachew K. Befekadu and Eduardo L. Pasiliao

Workshop on Risk Management Approaches  
in Engineering Applications

University of Florida  
November 10, 2015

# Outline

- ▶ Introduction
- ▶ Preliminaries
- ▶ Statement of the problem
- ▶ Main results
  - ▶ Connection with stochastic control problems
  - ▶ On the invariance property of path-space measure
- ▶ Further remarks

## Introduction

Let  $x(t)$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Markov process with a transition kernel  $q(s, y; t, x)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ .

Start with an initial density  $p_0(x)$ , then the density at time  $T$  is given by

$$p_T(x) = \int q(0, y; T, x) p_0(y) dy.$$

Suppose that we observe a different density  $\pi_T(x)$  at time  $T$ . Then, our assumption about the transition kernel of  $x(t)$  seems wrong.

Then, the following question arises: What is the transition kernel  $\tilde{q}(s, y; t, x)$  that is close, *in some sense*, to  $q(s, y; t, x)$  and for which

$$\pi_T(x) = \int \tilde{q}(0, y; T, x) p_0(y) dy.$$

# Introduction ...

## Remark

*The above question is closely related to the problem of assigning initial and final conditions to the Markov process  $x(t)$ ; and such a problem has been well studied in the context of reciprocal processes (e.g., Jamison (1974/75); Beurling (1960)).*

# Introduction ...

## Definition (1)

Let  $x(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a stochastic process defined on a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . For  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ , define the following  $\sigma$ -algebras:

$$\mathcal{A}_{s,t} = \sigma\{x(\tau) | s \leq \tau \leq t\},$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{s,t} = \sigma\{x(\tau) | \tau \leq s \text{ or } \tau \geq t\}.$$

Then, we say that  $x(t)$  is a **reciprocal process** if

$$P\{A \cap B | x(s), x(t)\} = P\{A | x(s), x(t)\} P\{B | x(s), x(t)\}$$

for any  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$  and  $A \in \mathcal{A}_{s,t}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{s,t}$ .

## Introduction . . .

Under some technical assumptions, a reciprocal process admits an **intermediate density**  $p(s, x; t, y; u, z)$ , i.e.,

$$p(s, x; t, y; u, z) = \frac{q(s, x; t, y)q(t, y; u, z)}{q(s, x; u, z)}, \quad 0 \leq s < t < u \leq T,$$

which expresses the conditional density of  $x(t)$  given  $x(s) = x$  and  $x(u) = z$ .

### Remark

*Suppose that we are given two probability measures  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_T$  and a transition kernel  $q(s, y; t, x)$  for  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ . Then, we can construct a reciprocal process (with an intermediate density  $p(s, x; t, y; u, z)$ ) such that  $x(0)$  and  $x(T)$  are distributed according to  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_T$ , respectively.*

## Introduction . . .

### General observation:

Let  $q(s, y; t, x)$  be a transition kernel associated with the following diffusion process  $x(t)$

$$dx(t) = b(t, x(t))dt + \sigma(t, x(t))dW(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Then, constructing **reciprocal processes** corresponds to a change of measure on the path-space.

### Important observation:

Consider the following controlled-diffusion process  $x^u(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$dx^u(t) = (b(t, x^u(t)) + a(t, x^u(t))u(t))dt + \sigma(t, x^u(t))dW(t),$$

where  $a(t, x^u(t)) = \sigma^T(t, x^u(t))\sigma(t, x^u(t))$ .

Suppose that we are given two probability measures  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_T$ . Then, what is the **admissible minimum energy** control  $u^*$  for which  $x^{u^*}(t)$  evolves from  $\mu_0$  to  $\mu_T$ ?

# Introduction . . .

## Remark

*In this talk, our main purpose is to throw some light on the structure of controlled-diffusion processes pertaining to a chain of distributed systems.*

*At the same time, we also touch some related questions concerning entropy minimization subject to an initial distribution and a final attainable distribution for such controlled-diffusion processes.*



# Preliminaries

Consider the following distributed system

$$\left. \begin{aligned} dx_t^1 &= m_1(t, x_t^1, \dots, x_t^n) dt + \sigma(t, x_t^1, \dots, x_t^n) dW(t) \\ dx_t^2 &= m_2(t, x_t^1, \dots, x_t^n) dt \\ dx_t^3 &= m_3(t, x_t^2, \dots, x_t^n) dt \\ &\vdots \\ dx_t^n &= m_n(t, x_t^{n-1}, x_t^n) dt, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where

- ▶  $x^i$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued state for the  $i$ th subsystem, with  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,
- ▶ the functions  $m_1: (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{nd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $m_i: (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{(n-i+1)d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n$  are uniformly Lipschitz, with bounded first derivatives,

## Preliminaries ...

- ▶  $\sigma: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{nd} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is Lipschitz with the least eigenvalue of  $\sigma \sigma^T$  uniformly bounded away from zero, i.e.,

$$\sigma(t, x_t^1, \dots, x_t^n) \sigma^T(t, x_t^1, \dots, x_t^n) \geq \lambda I_d, \quad \forall (x_t^1, \dots, x_t^n) \in \mathbb{R}^{nd},$$

for all for  $t \geq 0$  and some  $\lambda > 0$ ,

- ▶  $W$  (with  $W(0) = 0$ ) is a  $d$ -dimensional standard Wiener process.

Notation:

- ▶ we use bold face letters to denote variables in  $\mathbb{R}^{nd}$ ,
- ▶ for any  $t \geq 0$ , the solution  $(x_t^1, x_t^2, \dots, x_t^n)$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{x}_t$ ,
- ▶ for  $(t, (x^{j-1}, \dots, x^n)) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{(n-j+1)d}$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, n$ , the function  $x^j \mapsto m_j(t, x^{j-1}, \dots, x^n)$  is continuously differentiable w.r.t.  $x^j$  and its derivative denoted by  $(t, x^{j-1}, \dots, x^n) \mapsto D_{x^j} m_j(t, x^{j-1}, \dots, x^n)$ .

## Preliminaries ...

Then, we can write (1) as follow

$$d\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{M}(t, \mathbf{x}_t)dt + G\sigma(t, \mathbf{x}_t)dW_t, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathbf{M} = [m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n]$  is an  $\mathbb{R}^{nd}$ -valued function and  $G = [I_d, 0, \dots, 0]^T$  stands for an  $(nd \times d)$  matrix that embeds  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{nd}$ . Moreover, the **infinitesimal generator** associated with (2) is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{t,\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(a(t, \mathbf{x})D_{\mathbf{x}^1}^2) + m_1(t, \mathbf{x})D_{x^1} + \sum_{j=2}^n m_j(t, \mathbf{x}^{j-1})D_{x^j},$$

where  $a(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sigma(t, \mathbf{x})\sigma^T(t, \mathbf{x})$ .

# Preliminaries ...

## Assumption (1)

- (a) *The functions  $m_1(t, \mathbf{x})$  and  $m_j(t, \mathbf{x}^{j-1})$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n$  satisfy Hölder conditions with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x}^{j-1}$ , respectively. Moreover,  $a(t, \mathbf{x})$  is a bounded  $C^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{nd})$ -function;  $a(t, \mathbf{x})$  and  $D_{x^i} a(t, \mathbf{x})$  are bounded and satisfy Hölder conditions with respect to both  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $t$  (e.g., Hörmander (1967)).*
- (b) *The infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{L}_{t, \mathbf{x}}$  is hypoelliptic.*

## Remark

*The hypoellipticity assumption is related to a strong accessibility property of controllable nonlinear systems that are driven by white noise. Note that the hypoellipticity assumption also implies that the diffusion process  $\mathbf{x}_t$  has a transition kernel  $q(s, \mathbf{y}, t, \mathbf{x})$  with a strong Feller property.*

## Preliminaries ...

Note that, from **Assumption (1)**, the parabolic PDE

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{t,\mathbf{x}}f = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{nd}$$

has a fundamental solution  $q(s, \mathbf{y}, t, \mathbf{x})$  which is twice continuously differentiable with respect to  $\mathbf{y}$  and continuously differentiable with respect to  $s$ .

## Preliminaries ...

Moreover, for any positive measurable function  $g(\mathbf{x})$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} q(0, \mathbf{x}, T, \mathbf{z}) g(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z} < +\infty \quad \text{for some } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}.$$

Then, the function

$$h(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nd}} q(t, \mathbf{x}, T, \mathbf{z}) g(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}$$

belongs  $C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{nd})$ .

### Remark

*Note that the function  $h(t, \mathbf{x})$  is the kernel of the operator  $(\partial/\partial t + \mathcal{L}_{t,\mathbf{x}})$ , i.e.,  $(\partial h/\partial t + \mathcal{L}_{t,\mathbf{x}}h) = 0$ .*

## Preliminaries ...

### Remark

*Note that a continuous change of measure on the path-space is related to changing the drift of the diffusion process associated with (2).*

Then, we have the following results.

### Proposition (1)

*Suppose that  $\mathbf{x}_t$  is a weak solution of (2). Let the function  $h(t, \mathbf{x}) \in C_b^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{nd})$  be a strictly positive solution to following*

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_{t, \mathbf{x}} h = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{nd}$$

*such that  $\mathbb{E}\{h(t, \mathbf{x})\} < +\infty$  and*

$$h(s, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}_{s, \mathbf{x}}\{h(t, \mathbf{x})\}, \quad 0 \leq s < t < T.$$

## Preliminaries ...

Then, the following SDE

$$d\mathbf{x}_t^h = \left( \mathbf{M}(t, \mathbf{x}_t^h) + G a(t, \mathbf{x}_t^h) D_{\mathbf{x}^1} \log h(t, \mathbf{x}_t^h) \right) dt + G \sigma(t, \mathbf{x}_t^h) dW_t$$

admits a weak solution in  $[0, T)$  and, moreover, its transition kernel is given by

$$q^h(s, \mathbf{y}, t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{q(s, \mathbf{y}, t, \mathbf{x}) h(t, \mathbf{x})}{h(s, \mathbf{y})}.$$

### Proof.

The proof involves introducing a martingale process  $z(t) = h(t, \mathbf{x})/h(0, \mathbf{x}_0)$ . Then, using change of measure  $\frac{dQ}{dP} = z(T - \epsilon)$ , with  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can show that

$$\mathbb{E}_{s, \mathbf{x}}^Q \{ f(\mathbf{x}) \} = \int q^h(s, \mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} < +\infty$$

for any test function  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{nd})$ .





## Preliminaries ...

Recall the following:

### Definition (2)

Assume that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures defined in the same measure space. Then, the *relative entropy* of  $\mu$  w.r.t.  $\nu$  is defined by

$$H(\mu|\nu) = \begin{cases} \int \log\left(\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}\right) d\mu, & \text{if } \mu \ll \nu, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# Preliminaries ...

## Proposition (2)

*Let  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_T$  be two probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^{nd}$  and the transition probability density  $q(s, \mathbf{y}, t, \mathbf{x})$ . Then, there exists a unique pair of  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $(\nu_0, \nu_T)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{nd}$  such that the measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{nd} \times \mathbb{R}^{nd}$  defined by*

$$\mu(E) = \int_E q(0, \mathbf{y}, T, \mathbf{x}) \nu_0(d\mathbf{y}) \nu_T(d\mathbf{x})$$

*has marginals  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_T$ . Furthermore,  $\nu_0 \ll \mu_0$  and  $\nu_T \ll \mu_T$  (i.e., they are mutually absolutely continuous measures).*

## Statement of the problem

Consider the following controlled-diffusion process

$$d\mathbf{x}_t^u = (\mathbf{M}(t, \mathbf{x}_t^u) + G\mathbf{u}_t)dt + G\sigma(t, \mathbf{x}_t^u)dW_t, \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_t$  is an admissible control that satisfies

- (i)  $\mathbf{u}_t$  is  $\sigma\{\mathbf{x}_t^u\}$ -measurable;
- (ii) (3) admits a weak solution in  $[0, T]$ ; and
- (iii)  $\mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{a^{-1}}^2 dt < +\infty$ , where  $\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{a^{-1}}^2 \triangleq \|\sigma^{-1}(t, \mathbf{x}_t^u)\mathbf{u}_t\|^2$ .

## Statement of the problem . . .

Assume that we are given two probability measures  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_T$ , then we consider the following problem:

### Problem (P)

*Find an optimal admissible control  $\mathbf{u}_t^*$  such that*

- (1)  $\mathbf{x}_0^{u^*}$  is distributed according to  $\mu_0$ , and  $\mathbf{x}_T^{u^*}$  according  $\mu_T$ ;  
and*
- (2) the optimal admissible control  $\mathbf{u}_t^*$  (among all admissible controls satisfying condition (i)) minimizes the following cost functional*

$$J(\mathbf{u}_t) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{a^{-1}}^2 dt.$$

## Statement of the problem ...

Assume that  $\mathbf{x}_t$  is a weak solution in  $[0, T]$  to the following

$$d\mathbf{x}_t = \mathbf{M}(t, \mathbf{x}_t)dt + G\sigma(t, \mathbf{x}_t)dW_t, \quad \mathbf{x}_0 = \xi,$$

where  $\xi$  is distributed according to  $\mu_0$ , with  $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < +\infty$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}_t$  be an operator, acting on the set of  $\sigma$ -finite measures on  $\mathbb{R}^{nd}$ , defined by

$$\frac{d\mathcal{S}_t\mu}{d\lambda}(\mathbf{x}_t) = \int q(0, \mathbf{y}, t, \mathbf{x})\mu(d\mathbf{y}),$$

where  $d\mathcal{S}_t\mu/d\lambda$  is the Radon-Nikodym derivative w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ .

# Main results - Connection with stochastic control problems

In what follows, using the **logarithmic transformations** approach from Fleming (e.g., Fleming (1978/782)), we provide a condition on the existence of an optimal admissible control for **Problem (P)**.

## Remark

*The result mainly relies on the interpretation of  $\log h(t, \mathbf{x})$  as a **value function** for a stochastic control problem associated with the distributed systems, which is amounted to changing the drift term by a certain perturbation suggested by Jamison in the context of reciprocal processes (cf. Proposition (2)).*

Specifically, we consider the following conditions:

- ▶ with a deterministic initial condition; and
- ▶ with a random initial condition.

## Connection with stochastic control problems . . .

First, consider **Problem (P)** with a deterministic initial condition, i.e., when  $\mu_0$  assumes a Dirac measure that is concentrated at a point  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ .

### Proposition (3)

*Suppose that  $\mu_0$  is a Dirac measure which is concentrated at a point  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ . Further, assume that*

$$H(\mu_T | \mathcal{S}_T \mu_0) < +\infty$$

*and let  $h(t, \mathbf{x})$  be given by*

$$h(t, \mathbf{x}) = \int q(t, \mathbf{x}, T, \mathbf{z}) \log \frac{d\mu_T}{d\mathcal{S}_T \mu_0}(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}. \quad (4)$$

*Then,  $\mathbf{u}_t^* = a(t, \mathbf{x}_t) D_{\mathbf{x}^1} \log h(t, \mathbf{x}_t)$  solves **Problem (P)** with an optimal value of*

$$J(\mathbf{u}_t^*) = H(\mu_T | \mathcal{S}_T \mu_0).$$

## Connection with stochastic control problems ...

### Remark

*Note that the “energy”  $J(\mathbf{u}_t) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{a^{-1}}^2 dt$  has an interpretation in terms of the relative entropy.*

*Suppose that  $P_{\mathbf{x}_t}$  and  $P_{\mathbf{x}_t^u}$  are measures generated by  $\mathbf{x}_t$  and  $\mathbf{x}_t^u$  on the path-space  $C^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{nd})$ . Then, using Girsanov transformation, we have the following*

$$\begin{aligned} H(P_{\mathbf{x}_t^u} | P_{\mathbf{x}_t}) &= \int \log \frac{dP_{\mathbf{x}_t^u}}{dP_{\mathbf{x}_t}} dP_{\mathbf{x}_t^u} \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_0^T \sigma^{-1}(t, \mathbf{x}_t^u) \mathbf{u}_t dW_t - \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{a^{-1}}^2 dt \right\} \\ &\equiv J(\mathbf{u}_t). \end{aligned}$$



## Connection with stochastic control problems . . .

### Remark

*Moreover, under the optimality condition, we have the following*

$$H(P_{\mathbf{x}_t^u} | P_{\mathbf{x}_t}) = H(\mu_T | \mathcal{S}_T \nu_0)$$

*that implies the **global relative entropy** is exactly equal to the **relative entropy** between the final densities.*

## Connection with stochastic control problems . . .

Assume that  $\xi$  is distributed according to a measure  $\mu_0$ . Note that, from Proposition (2), for  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_T$  (with  $\mu_T \ll \mathcal{S}_T \mu_0$ ), there exist two  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\nu_0$  and  $\nu_T$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\mu_T}{d\lambda} &= \rho_T(\mathbf{x}) \int q(0, \mathbf{y}, T, \mathbf{x}) \nu_0(d\mathbf{y}) \\ &\triangleq \pi_T(\mathbf{x})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{d\mu_0}{d\nu_0} = \int q(0, \mathbf{x}, T, \mathbf{z}) \rho_T(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z},$$

where  $\rho_T(\mathbf{x}_t) = d\nu_T/d\nu_0$ .

## Connection with stochastic control problems . . .

Then, for any initial random variable  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \xi$  distributed according to  $\nu_0$  and satisfying  $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < +\infty$ , we have the following result which is a generalization of Proposition (3).

### Proposition (4)

*Suppose that  $H(\mu_T|\mathcal{S}_T\nu_0) < +\infty$ ,  $\int (d\mu_0/d\nu_0)d\mu_0 < +\infty$ . Let  $h(t, \mathbf{x}_t)$  be given by*

$$h(t, \mathbf{x}_t) = \int q(t, \mathbf{x}, T, \mathbf{z}) \rho_T(\mathbf{z}) d\mathbf{z}.$$

*Then,  $\mathbf{u}_t^* = a(t, \mathbf{x}_t) D_{\mathbf{x}^1} \log h(t, \mathbf{x}_t)$  solves **Problem (P)** with an optimal value of*

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mathbf{u}_t^*) &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t^*\|_{a^{-1}}^2 dt \\ &= H(\mu_T|\mathcal{S}_T\nu_0) - H(\mu_0|\nu_0). \end{aligned}$$

## Connection with stochastic control problems . . .

### Remark

*Note that the conditions under which Proposition (4) holds are rather difficult to meet. However, when  $\mu_0$  has compact support, we can replace them with suitable conditions.*

### Proposition (5)

*Suppose that  $\mu_0$  has compact support and  $H(\mu_T | \mathcal{S}_T \mu_0) < +\infty$ . Then, we have*

$$H(\mu_T | \mathcal{S}_T \nu_0) < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int \frac{d\mu_0}{d\nu_0} d\mu_0 < +\infty.$$

## On the invariance property of path-space measure

If  $\mathbf{x}_t$  is a weak solution of (2) with  $\mathbf{M}(t, \mathbf{x}) \in C_b^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{nd}; \mathbb{R}^{nd})$  and  $\sigma(t, \mathbf{x}) \in C_b^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{nd}; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ . Then, we can consider determining an asymptotic estimate for the probability of a small tube around  $C^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{nd})$ -function.

Note that, for a given  $\varphi \in C^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^{nd})$  and small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have the following asymptotic estimate

$$\mathbb{P} \{ \|\mathbf{x}_\cdot - \varphi\| < \varepsilon \} \approx \kappa_\varepsilon \exp \left\{ - \int_0^T L(t, \varphi, \dot{\varphi}) dt \right\},$$

where  $L(t, \varphi, \dot{\varphi}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{M}(t, \varphi) - \dot{\varphi}\|_{a^{-1}}^2$ .

Moreover, such an asymptotic estimate justifies the definition of **most probable path** that minimizes the functional  $\int_0^T L(t, \varphi, \dot{\varphi}) dt$ .

# On the invariance property of path-space measure

...

Then, we the following result.

## Proposition (6)

*Assume  $\mathbf{M}(t, \mathbf{x}_t) \in C_b^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{nd}; \mathbb{R}^{nd})$  and  $\sigma(t, \mathbf{x}_t) \in C_b^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{nd}; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ . Consider the following two diffusion processes  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t$  with the same diffusion term  $\sigma(t, \mathbf{x})$  and whose drifts are*

$$\mathbf{M}(t, \mathbf{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{M}(t, \mathbf{x}) + G a(t, \mathbf{x}_t) D_{x^1} \log h(t, \mathbf{x}),$$

*respectively, where  $h(t, \mathbf{x})$  is a strictly positive function and a kernel of the operator  $(\partial/\partial t + \mathcal{L}_{t, \mathbf{x}})$ . Then,  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t$  have the same extremal trajectories.*

## Further remarks

Is there any meaningful extension that can be included?

For example, we can include a state dependent term in the cost functional

$$J(\mathbf{x}_t^u, \mathbf{u}_t) = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{a^{-1}}^2 + V(\mathbf{x}_t^u) \right) dt, \quad V \geq 0.$$

Then, if we take  $h(t, \mathbf{x}_t)$  in the kernel of the operator  $(\partial/\partial t + \mathcal{L}_{t,\mathbf{x}} - V)$  and  $q(s, \mathbf{y}, t, \mathbf{x})$  as the fundamental solution of  $(\partial/\partial t)f + \mathcal{L}_{t,\mathbf{x}}f - Vf = 0$ .

### Remark

*Observe that  $q(s, \mathbf{y}, t, \mathbf{x})$  is the transition kernel of the killed diffusion process with killing rate  $V$ .*